# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Jiwen Cai<sup>a</sup> and Seik Weng Ng<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.009 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.085 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# *µ*-Nitrato-nitratobis[tris(2-aminoethyl)amine]dicadmium(II) dinitrate

The two Cd atoms of the cation of the title compound,  $[Cd_2(NO_3)_2(C_6H_{12}N_4)_2](NO_3)_2$ , are both N,N',N'',N'''chelated by the tren ligands, and both are also O,O'-chelated by the nitrato groups. Of these two nitro groups, one uses its third O atom to bind to the other Cd atom so that one Cd atom is six-coordinate whereas the other is seven-coordinate. Received 23 July 2003 Accepted 25 July 2003 Online 31 July 2003

### Comment

The tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren) ligand chelates to a plethora of metal ions; for Cd in particular, the [(tren)Cd]<sup>2+</sup> unit has been authenticated in, for example, the cadmium nitrate/1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate complex (Zhang et al., 2000), in which the ligand binds to the metal atom through all four N atoms. The nitrate ions do not interact with the Cd atom but form hydrogen bonds to the amino H atoms instead. Another study on the complexes with the metalates,  $[Fe(CN)_5(NO)]^{2-}$ ,  $[Pd(CN)_4]^{2-}$  and  $[Pt(CN)_6]^{2-}$ , have shown that these anions bind directly to the [(tren)Cd]<sup>2+</sup> unit (Zhang et al., 2002). The 2/2 adduct of tren with Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> features bidentate and tridentate NO<sub>3</sub> groups (Fig. 1). Both Cd atoms are N, N', N'', N'''-chelated by the tren ligands and both are also O,O'-chelated by the nitrato groups [Cd1-O = 2.407 (4) and 2.534 (4) Å; Cd2–O 2.426 (5) and 2.593 (5) Å]. However, one of these nitrate groups engages in binding to the other Cd atom, the somewhat long interaction [Cd2-O3 = 2.654 (4) Å]raising the coordination number to seven. The packing is not compact, and the amino H atoms interact only weakly with the uncoordinated nitrato groups (Table 2). Such weak interactions have also been noted in the 7/12 cadmium diperchlorate adduct (Klüfers & Mayer, 1998).



# **Experimental**

 $\odot$  2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

The compound was synthesized by the reaction of cadmium nitrate and tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren) on a millimolar scale. As the cadmium reagent had turned into a liquid from the absorption of water, it was not weighed. Several drops of the reagent were added to several drops of tren that were dissolved in ethanol (10 ml). A fine solid material formed immediately. The mixture was heated and more ethanol added to dissolve the compound. The light-brown solution was filtered and allowed to cool. The title compound separated from solution after several hours. The supernatant liquid was decanted and a specimen for the diffraction measurements was picked from the syrup that coated the crystals. The crystal was cleaned with vaseline to remove the syrup.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

Cell parameters from 954 reflections  $\theta = 2.9-23.0^{\circ}$  $\mu = 1.63 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) K

Parallelepiped, light yellow  $0.25 \times 0.13 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$ 

6164 independent reflections

Flack parameter = 0.00(3)

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.047$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.4^\circ$ 

 $h = -31 \rightarrow 29$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} k=-17\rightarrow17\\ l=-10\rightarrow10 \end{array}$ 

4101 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

### Crystal data

[Cd <sub>2</sub> (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>12</sub> N <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ](NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>
$M_r = 765.33$
Orthorhombic, Pna21
a = 24.172 (3) Å
b = 13.365 (1)  Å
c = 8.463 (1)  Å
$V = 2733.9(5) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.859 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART area-detector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\min} = 0.654, T_{\max} = 0.833$ 23153 measured reflections

#### Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Refinement on } F^2 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0369P)^2 \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036 & where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ S = 1.01 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001 \\ 6164 \ reflections & \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.48 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ 361 \ parameters & \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.40 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm H-atom \ parameters \ constrained} & Absolute \ structure: \ Flack \ (1983), \\ 2850 \ Friedel \ pairs \end{array}$ 

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

-	-		
Cd1-N1	2.447 (4)	Cd2-N7	2.280 (6)
Cd1-N2	2.263 (5)	Cd2-N8	2.294 (6)
Cd1-N3	2.257 (5)	Cd2-N9	2.274 (6)
Cd1-N4	2.268 (6)	Cd2-O3	2.654 (4)
Cd1-O1	2.407 (4)	Cd2-O4	2.593 (5)
Cd1-O2	2.534 (4)	Cd2-O5	2.426 (5)
Cd2-N6	2.442 (4)		
N1-Cd1-N2	76.1 (2)	N6-Cd2-O3	124.3 (1)
N1-Cd1-N3	76.3 (2)	N6-Cd2-O4	149.0 (2)
N1-Cd1-N4	75.7 (2)	N6-Cd2-O5	155.6 (2)
N1-Cd1-O1	162.5 (1)	N7-Cd2-N8	102.2 (3)
N1-Cd1-O2	145.0 (1)	N7-Cd2-N9	127.0 (2)
N2-Cd1-N3	112.5 (2)	N7-Cd2-O3	82.5 (2)
N2-Cd1-N4	114.5 (2)	N7-Cd2-O4	136.7 (2)
N2-Cd1-O1	89.1 (2)	N7-Cd2-O5	90.4 (2)
N2-Cd1-O2	138.7 (2)	N8-Cd2-N9	111.0 (3)
N3-Cd1-N4	116.0 (3)	N8-Cd2-O3	160.1 (2)
N3-Cd1-O1	118.8 (2)	N8-Cd2-O4	95.3 (2)
N3-Cd1-O2	83.1 (2)	N8-Cd2-O5	89.9 (2)
N4-Cd1-O1	102.9 (3)	N9-Cd2-O3	79.8 (2)
N4-Cd1-O2	88.7 (2)	N9-Cd2-O4	80.6 (2)
O1-Cd1-O2	51.3 (1)	N9-Cd2-O5	128.4 (2)
N6-Cd2-N7	74.2 (2)	O3-Cd2-O4	69.3 (2)
N6-Cd2-N8	75.4 (2)	O3-Cd2-O5	70.6 (2)
N6-Cd2-N9	75.7 (2)	O4-Cd2-O5	50.0 (1)
N4-Cd1-O1 N4-Cd1-O2 O1-Cd1-O2 N6-Cd2-N7 N6-Cd2-N8 N6-Cd2-N9	102.9 (3) 88.7 (2) 51.3 (1) 74.2 (2) 75.4 (2) 75.7 (2)	N9-Cd2-O3 N9-Cd2-O4 N9-Cd2-O5 O3-Cd2-O4 O3-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O4-Cd2-O5 O5 O5 O5 O5 O5 O5 O5 O5 O5	79 80 128 69 70 50



## Figure 1

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii. Only one component of the disordered anions is shown.

## Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N2-H2 $n1$ ···O7	0.90	2.25	2.91 (3)	130
$N2-H2n1\cdots O8$	0.90	2.36	3.25 (4)	172
$N2-H2n1\cdots O7'$	0.90	2.60	3.30 (3)	135
N2-H2 $n1$ ···O8′	0.90	2.21	3.10 (4)	171
N2−H2n2···O10	0.90	2.18	3.00 (3)	151
N2-H2n2···O10′	0.90	2.29	3.16 (3)	162
$N3-H3n1\cdots O6^{i}$	0.90	2.24	3.11(1)	165
$N3-H3n2\cdotsO11^{ii}$	0.90	2.40	3.23 (3)	153
$N3-H3n2\cdotsO11'^{ii}$	0.90	2.57	3.36(3)	146
$N3-H3n2\cdots O12^{ii}$	0.90	2.41	3.11 (3)	136
$N3-H3n2\cdots O12'^{ii}$	0.90	2.39	3.19 (3)	149
$N4-H4n1\cdots O6^{iii}$	0.90	2.40	3.16(1)	143
N4-H4 $n2$ ···O10 <sup>iv</sup>	0.90	2.64	3.48 (4)	155
N4-H4 $n2$ ···O10 <sup>'iv</sup>	0.90	2.61	3.49 (4)	163
N4-H4 $n2$ ···O12 <sup>iv</sup>	0.90	2.45	3.27 (3)	151
N4-H4 $n2$ ···O12 <sup>'iv</sup>	0.90	2.26	3.02 (3)	141
$N7 - H7n1 \cdots O2$	0.90	2.62	3.18(1)	122
$N7 - H7n2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{i}$	0.90	2.55	3.16(1)	126
$N7-H7n1\cdots O4^{i}$	0.90	2.60	3.44 (1)	154
$N8-H8n1\cdots O8'^{i}$	0.90	2.34	3.18 (5)	155
$N8-H8n2\cdotsO10^{\prime iii}$	0.90	2.33	3.13 (2)	148
N9-H9 $n1$ ···O7 <sup>iii</sup>	0.90	2.74	3.52 (5)	146
N9-H9 $n2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3^{iii}$	0.90	2.64	3.22 (1)	124
N9-H9 $n1$ ···O9' <sup>iii</sup>	0.90	2.72	3.44 (5)	138

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iii) 1 - x, 1 - y,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iv) x, y, 1 + z.

The uncoordinated nitrate groups are disordered, and each was refined as two half-occupancy NO<sub>3</sub> entities sharing a common N atom. The N–O distances of the two disordered groups were restrained to be within 0.01 Å of each other, as were the non-bonded O···O distances. The entities were restrained to be planar; the umprimed and primed O atoms (Table 2) were constrained to have the same displacement parameters. Some of the bond distances in the two tren ligands were somewhat short and and others long, which is an indication of possible disorder. The six C–C distances were restrained to be within 0.01 Å of each other, as were the six N<sub>tertiary</sub>–C and six N<sub>primary</sub>–C distances. H atoms were positioned geometrically (C–H = 0.97 Å and N–H = 0.90 Å), and were given

displacement parameters 1.2 times  $U_{eq}$  of the parent atom. These were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20271053), Sun Yat-Sen University and the University of Malaya for supporting this work.

# References

- Bruker (1998). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). *ORTEPII*. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Klüfers, P. & Mayer, P. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 722-725.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Zhang, H., Cai, J., Feng, X. L., Sang, H. Y., Liu, J. Z., Li, X. Y. & Ji, L. N. (2002). Polyhedron, **21**, 721–728.
- Zhang, H., Cai, J., Yang, G., Xue, G. Q. & Ji, L. N. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, e562–e563.